

1 The Meeting of the Board of Public Works of the City of Onalaska was called to order at 6:30
2 p.m. on Tuesday, January 5, 2016. It was noted that the meeting had been announced and a
3 notice posted at City Hall.

4
5 Roll call was taken with the following members present: Financial Services Director/Treasurer
6 Fred Buehler, Ald. Jim Binash (alternate), Mayor Joe Chilsen, City Engineer Jarrod Holter, Ald.
7 Jim Bialecki. Ald. Barry Blomquist arrived with the meeting in progress.

8
9 Also Present: Assistant City Engineer Kevin Schubert, Ald. Harvey Bertrand, Ald. Bob Muth,
10 Parks and Recreation Director Dan Wick

11
12 **Item 2 – Approval of minutes from previous meeting**

13
14 Motion by Mayor Chilsen, second by Ald. Binash, to approve the minutes from the previous
15 meeting as printed and on file in the City Clerk’s Office.

16
17 On voice vote, motion carried.

18
19 **Item 3 – Public Input (limited to 3 minutes/individual)**

20
21 Ald. Bialecki called three times for anyone wishing to provide public input and closed that
22 portion of the meeting.

23
24 **Consideration and possible action on the following items:**

25
26 **Item 4 – 2016 Capital Improvements Projects**

27
28 A. **6:30 P.M. Public Hearing** – on 2016 Capital Improvements Projects

29
30 Ald. Bialecki opened the public hearing and called for anyone wishing to speak in favor of the
31 2016 Capital Improvements Projects.

32
33 **Diane Oldani Wulf**
34 **910 Orchid Place**
35 **Onalaska**

36
37 “I ask for your continued support of Item No. 1 under the Public Works Department, [which is]
38 the Sidewalk Repair Program, Well Street infill installation, and miscellaneous infill installation,
39 line items ‘a,’ ‘b’ and ‘c.’ ... A sidewalk is what makes a neighborhood a community. ... A
40 sidewalk connects people to one another. ... Also, I would like to comment on Item No. 12,
41 [which is] Public Works Facility – epoxy floor coating. I’ve always believed that if you’re

**Board of Public Works
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, January 5, 2016

2

42 willing to spend the money to build a building you have to be willing to maintain it. I would like
43 to see that done as soon as feasibly possible. Lastly, I couldn't agree more with Alderman
44 Bialecki's comments at the September 1, 2015 Board of Public Works meeting when he said he
45 believes street repair needs to be a priority in the 2016 Capital Improvements Budget. I hope this
46 year, and future years, you will continue to keep street repair a priority. Thank you for your
47 time."

48

49 Ald. Bialecki called three times for anyone else wishing to speak in favor of the 2016 Capital
50 Improvements Projects and closed that portion of the public hearing.

51

52 Ald. Bialecki called three times for anyone wishing to speak in opposition to the 2016 Capital
53 Improvements Projects and closed the public hearing.

54

55 B. Consideration, possible recommendation and possible action in regards to the 2016
56 Capital Improvements Projects

57

58 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Mayor Chilsen, to approve the 2016 Capital Improvements
59 Projects.

60

61 Ald. Bialecki asked Jarrod if the pavement had been approved in the 2015 Capital Improvements
62 Budget, and if it is being carried over.

63

64 Jarrod said the funds for the epoxy floor already were bonded and they have now been included
65 in the budget.

66

67 On voice vote, motion carried.

68

69 **Item 5 – Review and consideration of Green Coulee High Pressure Zone Water Study**

70

71 Jarrod noted that copies of the study, which was performed by Short Elliott Hendrickson, have
72 been included in board members' packets. Jarrod referred to the executive summary, which
73 states there are needs the city must address to reach compliance with Department of Natural
74 Resources code. Jarrod asked that a SEH representative be allowed to make a short presentation
75 on this report at the February 2 Board of Public Works meeting. Doing so would give board
76 members an opportunity to ask questions and allow the city to proceed with possible future
77 projects involved within the study.

78

79 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Mayor Chilsen, to move to the February 2 Board of Public
80 Works meeting review and consideration of Green Coulee High Pressure Zone Water Study.

81

82 On voice vote, motion carried.

83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123

Item 6 – Review and consideration of sidewalk replacement policy in relation to City-owned boulevard trees

Kevin noted that board members had asked at the December 1 Board of Public Works meeting for city staff to perform further research on how communities of similar size to the City of Onalaska, as well as other area communities, address sidewalk repairs. Kevin noted he had contacted representatives from seven municipalities and referred to a summary that had been included in board members’ packets. Four municipalities (City of Chippewa Falls, City of Marshfield, City of Verona, City of Middleton) require abutting property owners to pay for all sidewalk repairs. The Village of Howard pays for all sidewalk repairs, and the property owner is only required to perform snow removal. The City of De Pere pays for damage to the sidewalk by city terrace trees, and the property owner pays for all other sidewalk repairs. The City of La Crosse pays for 25 percent of repair costs up to \$250 (single frontage) and \$550 (double frontage) for each property.

Ald. Bialecki asked Kevin if he knows if Chippewa Falls, Marshfield, Verona or Middleton puts trees on city boulevards.

Kevin said both Chippewa Falls and Middleton do.

Fred asked if the boulevard distance is similar to the rest of the communities.

Kevin said he had not discussed widths with each of the communities and noted there are 4-foot boulevards and 9-foot boulevards with trees in Onalaska. Kevin said city staff will not plant trees on boulevards with widths of 5 feet or less.

Ald. Bialecki said, “I have mixed emotions on this one. On one hand, it’s standard. You have a boulevard and the sidewalk is in there. It’s broke, and then the homeowner pays for it. But the catch is the city plants a tree there and the roots pop the sidewalk. Are you still going to tell the homeowner they have to pay for that?”

Kevin said he “gets both sides” when he is speaking to residents – some strongly disapprove of the policy, but others have grown fond of a tree on their property and understand why the policy is in place.

Fred noted that the city had sent out between 50 and 70 notices for assessments it had billed out and asked if a majority had been less than \$250.

Kevin said the majority likely would be less than \$250.

**Board of Public Works
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, January 5, 2016

4

124 Fred said he believes the entire special assessment was approximately \$40,000.

125

126 Kevin told Fred his estimate is “fairly close.”

127

128 Fred said, “So the city through this whole process would have made that many people happy if
129 we paid the vast majority of it.”

130

131 Kevin said the city likely would have paid the vast majority of repairs. Kevin said the ordinance
132 gives the city latitude to take different approaches, noting that tree roots cause an obvious
133 tripping hazard. Kevin noted city staff is allowed to examine back-pitch sidewalk and pitting of
134 sidewalks and said he attempts to look for tripping hazards.

135

136 Jarrod noted that many of the heavily damaged sidewalks in the city have been repaired, adding
137 that trees are the cause of the damage approximately 75 percent of the time. Jarrod also noted
138 that Onalaska had a “healthy” tree planting program in the 1980s. Many of the trees that were
139 planted were ash trees, and many of these trees have been removed within the last couple years.
140 Jarrod said many of the trees are heaving sidewalks.

141

142 Fred referred to La Crosse’s policy and asked if the city should tie repair costs strictly to trees
143 that heave sidewalks.

144

145 Jarrod said La Crosse pays 25 percent of the repair costs for any defect and stated, “I think with
146 our ordinance and the way it is in that we’ve had the owners pay for it, I think that is justifiable,
147 as the attorney has said. You can see there are a lot of municipalities that do it that way. Once
148 again, I think the only thing is the tree because the one thing that really aggravates people is they
149 sometimes don’t mind paying for the sidewalk to get redone. But then they [tell us], ‘I want the
150 tree removed because I’m not going to pay for it a second time.’ That’s when I get that phone
151 call and I say, ‘The city owns the tree. It’s been marked by a forester as being a very healthy
152 tree. There’s nothing wrong with it. The tree is going to stay.’ That’s when they really get
153 aggravated. ... That’s where we see recurring problems.” Jarrod noted that some residents in the
154 Sandalwood neighborhood expressed frustration when they had to pay for repairs a second time
155 for the same tree. Jarrod said that although city staff has removed the roots, the tree is healthy
156 and continues to grow.

157

158 Ald. Blomquist asked how many repairs city staff performs on sidewalks every year.

159

160 Kevin said staff performed repairs on two smaller areas in 2015. Kevin also said the city sent
161 out 150 spot repairs.

162

163 Ald. Blomquist asked what percentage of the sidewalk repairs are due to tree damage.

164

**Board of Public Works
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, January 5, 2016

5

165 Kevin estimated 60 percent and said staff sends letters to citizens and asks them to return it if
166 they are going to repair a damaged sidewalk, or if they want the city to repair it and place it on
167 the tax roll. Kevin said the response rate is approximately 50 percent.

168

169 Fred said the Accounting Department must send out notices of special assessments after city staff
170 performs repairs, answer questions and place the assessments on the tax roll. Fred said, "When
171 you start adding up all that labor, you really wonder if it would have been better if the city would
172 have just done it."

173

174 Mayor Chilsen acknowledged that this situation is difficult, noting that city staff caused
175 difficulties by planting the tree, but yet citizens still want the trees on the boulevard. Mayor
176 Chilsen asked if perhaps citizens could be given an option.

177

178 Jarrod said he does not like the thought of removing a healthy tree.

179

180 Motion by Jarrod, second by Ald. Bialecki, to approve the City of Onalaska paying 50 percent of
181 the replacement cost of a sidewalk stone that is directly attributable to damage from a boulevard
182 terrace tree in the public right-of-way.

183

184 Mayor Chilsen asked who will be responsible for making this decision.

185

186 Jarrod said he believes it is up to the City Engineer to make the decision, stating he does not
187 believe the decision will be "overly judicious" to determine if a tree caused the damage. Jarrod
188 said, "We're going to send the notice either way. If we give them the notice and we don't say
189 that it's half and we find out it is we can always change the assessment when we go out to repair
190 it. So we would have a way of knowing it."

191

192 Ald. Bialecki asked, "Then your intent is just the sidewalk replacement and it has nothing to do
193 with the trees? We stand firm on that, correct?"

194

195 Jarrod said yes, stating that the tree would remain unless it needs to be removed due to disease or
196 something identified by a forester as a hazard.

197

198 Fred asked, "Were you also in a position not to put a maximum? Or don't you think the
199 maximum would ever apply?"

200

201 Jarrod said one stone is approximately \$175 to replace and noted there are locations where a tree
202 heaves on the joint and there are two stones. However, a majority are only one stone. Jarrod
203 said it has been his experience that there is a maximum of two stones.

204

205 Mayor Chilsen said he simply wants to make sure there is one individual making the decisions.

**Board of Public Works
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, January 5, 2016

6

206
207 Jarrod noted some residents had \$900 assessments because they had four boulevard trees, with
208 three heaving the sidewalk. Jarrod said each tree is separate and noted there were assessments
209 on Esther Drive that exceeded \$500 because three trees were heaving three different locations.

210
211 For clarification, Ald. Bertrand asked Jarrod if he is suggesting that the city pay 50 percent of the
212 replacement costs.

213
214 Jarrod said that is the motion on the floor and pointed out that the city's current policy states
215 residents "have no participation in any causes of failures due to the trees."

216
217 Ald. Bertrand asked if the city would be "generous" in paying 50 percent.

218
219 Jarrod said a homeowner who wants to remove a city-owned tree heaving his sidewalk likely
220 would not consider 50 percent to be generous. Jarrod noted there are municipalities that charge
221 all the costs and said the city's legal counsel has told him the City of Onalaska may continue to
222 do so.

223
224 Ald. Muth said he approves of Jarrod's proposal, describing it as "a partnership" between the
225 city and its residents.

226
227 Ald. Binash pointed out that a homeowner would be liable for any negligence that he/she is
228 responsible for on a sidewalk and said, "What benefit does the sidewalk give to the homeowner?
229 Is this something that is taxed, making their house more valuable because we put in a sidewalk?
230 And if it isn't, then the city put in the sidewalk for the benefit of the public. I think if we put in
231 the sidewalk for the benefit of the public, then I think Jarrod's proposal is spot-on in that the city
232 should be partially responsible for what we do with that sidewalk due to boulevard trees. I
233 mentioned this once before, and Jarrod had responded about mud-jacking it. If the cement slab
234 isn't damaged, is it possible to cut the root and just mud-jack that particular sidewalk? It might
235 lessen the expense." Ald. Binash noted he sits on the Administrative and Judiciary Committee
236 and asked if an ordinance change will be necessary. Ald. Binash also asked when an ordinance
237 change, if there is one, would take effect.

238
239 Ald. Bialecki said there likely would be a 60-day cycle if the Common Council passes this item
240 at its January 12 meeting and the ordinance change would require a First, Second, Third and
241 Final Reading at the Administrative and Judiciary Committee level.

242
243 Jarrod noted that city staff will not begin marking sidewalks again until June if this change
244 occurs and expressed confidence there would be sufficient time to implement the ordinance
245 change. Jarrod noted the city began its sidewalk program in the late 1990s because staff would
246 order a repair if an individual tripped and fell at a particular location. Jarrod noted staff had

**Board of Public Works
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, January 5, 2016

7

247 consulted with legal counsel and said the city could be liable unless it had a program in place that
248 required staff to physically examine a location. Jarrod said there is a seven-year rotation through
249 the city and noted he has received telephone calls from residents and attorneys who tell him
250 someone tripped and fell on a sidewalk that has heaved an inch. Jarrod said he asks where the
251 fall occurred and tells the caller it was inspected four years ago and the city has documentation
252 proving this.

253
254 Jarrod said, "Sidewalks are installed for the good of the public. It's something that makes a
255 community. Those of you who have been here long enough remember some heated debates in
256 the 1980s over putting sidewalks in neighborhoods. There's a varying opinion on sidewalks.
257 With that, I do believe, as Alderman Binash stated, they are a benefit to the public at large. And
258 as such, it's not just totally a homeowner's issue." Jarrod addressed mud-jacking, noting that
259 staff has looked into alternate repair methods. Jarrod said staff has found that if there is an
260 attempt to cut the root a significant amount of the boulevard is dug up where the tree is located.
261 A hump in the entire sidewalk system is created when staff leaves the root in place, tries to cut it
262 off and then mud-jacks the pieces around it up to that grade. Jarrod said it is nearly impossible to
263 completely remove the root without the sidewalk stoning out. The sidewalk continues to raise,
264 and city staff must return in the future.

265
266 Fred noted that nearly 1,700 trees have been removed and said that although the tree might no
267 longer be present, the sidewalk already was damaged. Fred said he feels comfortable that the
268 Engineering Department will give residents credit knowing that there was a tree causing damage.

269
270 Jarrod noted there are areas in the northern part of the city that staff will be inspecting within the
271 next two years. Nearly 1,100 trees have been removed from these areas, and Jarrod said staff
272 will be able to determine if the damage was caused by a root. Jarrod also noted the city has data
273 in its GIS System.

274
275 On voice vote, motion carried.

276
277 **Item 7 – Review and consideration of application for connection to State Trunk Highway**
278 **system, including supporting Resolution 2-2016**

279
280 Jarrod noted that board members' packets include a copy of the application for the connection to
281 the State Trunk Highway system. Jarrod described the location as "interesting," noting it is
282 along Sand Lake Road, which the city recently has taken jurisdiction over from La Crosse
283 County. Jarrod said the city is not attaching to the physical state trunk highway, but rather to a
284 City of Onalaska street that is located within an access control zone associated with the highway.
285 Jarrod said the paperwork included in board members' packets is being requested by the
286 Wisconsin Department of Transportation. The city must have a resolution supporting this
287 application.

288
289 Motion by Mayor Chilsen, second by Ald. Bialecki, to approve application for connection to
290 State Trunk Highway system, including supporting Resolution 2-2016.

291
292 Ald. Bialecki asked if there is no cost to the city at this time.

293
294 Jarrod told Ald. Bialecki he is correct, noting the only cost involved is his staff time to submit
295 the application. Jarrod said this time has been minimal. Jarrod also said, "This does not forego
296 the city to necessarily approve installing it. We would have the DOT permit to install it. It
297 doesn't mean that we have gone through and approved it yet."

298
299 Ald. Bialecki noted there is a reference to another significant project that, if it ever comes to
300 fruition, it would become part of the negotiating process for the development agreement.

301
302 Jarrod told Ald. Bialecki he is correct, noting there is no stipulation that the city would have to
303 pay for the access point to be constructed.

304
305 On voice vote, motion carried.

306
307 **Item 8 – Review and consideration of Change Order #2 for Well #9 Reconstruction Project**

308
309 Jarrod noted that Change Order #2 has been included in board members' packets and said the
310 well is close to being completed from the outside. Jarrod said he anticipates that the project will
311 begin either in late February or early March, noting that the inside is being painted and pipes are
312 starting to be installed. Jarrod described the items associated with Change Order #2:

- 313
- 314 • Manual gear operated hand wheel overrides were added to all filter function valves to be
315 able to manually operate the filter in the event of equipment or electronic failure. There
316 is a \$4,025.70 increase to the project associated with this item.
 - 317 • Interior plumbing for effluent sampling tap was added because there was a discrepancy in
318 the contract drawings for the installation and routing of the effluent sampling line for the
319 filter. The keynote on a mechanical contract drawing sheet for the effluent sampling line
320 calls out the line to be routed to the sampling sink, but also calls out a layout to be
321 provided in the plumbing contract drawing sheets that was not provided. There is a
322 \$3,437.70 increase to the project associated with this item.
 - 323 • There is a price increase due to upsizing of the generator exhaust. The location of
324 generator exhaust was moved, and the \$1,873.72 cost was split with the contractor.
 - 325 • The city will receive a \$1,647 credit to delete plywood on the exterior.
 - 326 • There will be a well pump rebuild at a cost of \$16,266.60 because the well pump
327 contractor was required to perform an inspection of the existing well pump, piping and
328 motor per the contract specifications. The inspection found deficiencies in the existing

329 well pump piping and the existing pump bowl assembly. The pump bowl assembly had a
330 hole in it and multiple metal fatigue locations. The existing pump bowl assembly is
331 obsolete and replacement parts can no longer be found.

- 332 • A fire protection dry system for attic space will need to be added at a cost of \$25,471.95.
333 Well #9 was designed with concrete walls and precast concrete ceilings for all rooms
334 with wood trusses for the roof. The state review for the fire protection plan is requiring
335 fire protection to be added to the attic space created by the wood trusses of the building.
336 Since this is not a heated space, a dry fire protection system is needed to be installed.

337

338 Jarrod noted the items for Change Order #2 total \$49,428.67. This 1.79 percent of the
339 construction cost. Jarrod said more than \$21,000 (.76 percent of the project) in change orders
340 was previously approved. Approval of Change Order #2 would bring the total to 2.55 percent.
341 Jarrod said the city still is within the contingency for the project and stated, “We are within a
342 number that I feel is defensible within the project as far as looking at the change orders.
343 Overall, the project has run very well. I think we’re getting a quality product for what we’re
344 doing.”

345

346 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Ald. Blomquist, to approve Change Order #2 for Well #9
347 Reconstruction Project in the amount of \$49,428.67.

348

349 Fred said he is disappointed in having to include the fire protection dry system.

350

351 Jarrod said he initially was “very disappointed in it.” However, Jarrod also said, “Once Kevin
352 went through all the process that he went through and did all the research ... I thought Strand
353 was at fault [at first]. But I’ve sat in on a couple phone calls with Kevin when this was done and
354 looking at the code book, and it’s insurmountable. ... I feel Strand did a good job. They did a
355 prudent job of their design. You go through the code book, and not everything is black-and-
356 white. That sprinkler code isn’t a black-and-white specimen.”

357

358 Fred said he appreciates Jarrod’s explanation because he does not want there to be the perception
359 that all change orders are approved automatically.

360

361 Jarrod noted that Kevin has been performing the construction administration for the project so
362 Strand will not have to. Doing so is more cost-efficient to the city. Jarrod complimented Kevin
363 for thoroughly examining the code book.

364

365 On voice vote, motion carried.

366

367 **Item 9 – Review and consideration of Utility Billing area door modifications at Public**
368 **Works Facility**

369

**Board of Public Works
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, January 5, 2016

10

370 Jarrod noted that board members' packets include quotes for the door modifications and referred
371 to a sketch by Olympic Builders in the packet. Jarrod said the goal of the project is to relocate
372 the current door further into the building and allow better access by opening the outside door
373 during business hours. Doing so will allow visitors to access the Billing Administrator without
374 having to ring a buzzer to enter. Jarrod said he believes this will lead to improved customer
375 service and noted that this item was included in the budget. Jarrod said Olympic Builders' bid is
376 \$11,304.90, which is a not-to-exceed number. The bid also includes cabinetry work for the
377 office.

378
379 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Ald. Blomquist, to award Utility Billing area door
380 modifications at Public Works Facility to Olympic Builders in an amount not to exceed
381 \$11,304.90.

382
383 Ald. Bialecki asked Kevin to ensure that the modification does not interfere with any of the
384 original fire codes.

385
386 On voice vote, motion carried.

387
388 **Item 10 – Review and consideration of intersection control at Troy Street and Oak Avenue**
389 **North**

390
391 Jarrod noted that the Stop Sign Evaluation Committee had met Monday afternoon and said the
392 committee recommends leaving the existing yield signs at the intersection.

393
394 Motion by Jarrod, second by Ald. Bialecki, to leave the existing yield signs at Troy Street and
395 Oak Avenue North.

396
397 On voice vote, motion carried.

398
399 Ald. Bialecki said Item 12 would be addressed next.

400
401 **Item 12 – Review and consideration of La Crosse Community Foundation grant for**
402 **emerald ash borer tree replacement**

403
404 Jarrod said the La Crosse Community Foundation has awarded the City of Onalaska \$20,000 and
405 complimented Planner/Zoning Inspector Katie Aspenson for applying for the funds. The grant
406 will offset the costs of the tree planting city staff will perform during the summer.

407
408 Motion by Jarrod, second by Ald. Bialecki, to accept a \$20,000 grant from the La Crosse
409 Community Foundation for emerald ash borer tree replacement.

410

411 Mayor Chilsen complimented Katie for writing the grant.

412

413 Fred noted that the city had adjusted the capital projects for this anticipation.

414

415 Jarrod noted the city also received a DNR forestry grant that was included in the capital projects
416 list.

417

418 On voice vote, motion carried.

419

420 **Item 11 – Review and consideration of purchasing used Street Department asphalt hot box**

421

422 Jarrod said the budget included \$7,300 for an asphalt hot patch box. Jarrod noted that he had had
423 “a great lead” on a hot box that cost \$5,500. However, it is no longer available. Jarrod noted
424 that he has found two hot boxes that cost \$9,500 and \$12,500, respectively. Jarrod said \$800
425 will be saved out of the operating equipment budget because a set of tires was purchased
426 utilizing funds from the 2015 budget, and he asked the board to approve \$8,100 to purchase a hot
427 box when he, Mayor Chilsen and Fred agree there is one available.

428

429 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Ald. Blomquist, to restrict \$8,100 with the intent of
430 purchasing a used Street Department asphalt hot box.

431

432 On voice vote, motion carried.

433

434 **Item 13 – Review and consideration of Omni Center Arena One Ice System Replacement**
435 **(Bid Package 1) Change Order #1**

436

437 Jarrod said that when the electrician examined the fuses and the electrical system in Arena One,
438 he discovered that the system was designed for a 400-amp feeder coming in. Upon further
439 examination, the electrician discovered 300-amp fuses and 300-amp wire. Jarrod said the design
440 called for a 400-amp system and noted a change order was authorized to change out the
441 necessary fuses and the wires so there could be a 400-amp system. Jarrod said the change order
442 falls within the project budget that was specified.

443

444 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Ald. Blomquist, to approve Omni Center Arena One Ice
445 System Replacement (Bid Package 1) Change Order #1 in the amount of \$8,969.90.

446

447 On voice vote, motion carried.

448

449 **Item 14 – Pay Estimates: Strand Associates, Short Elliott Hendrickson Inc., Olympic**
450 **Builders, Minnesota Ice LLC, State of Wisconsin Department of Transportation and any**
451 **other contractor/developer**

**BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS
MONTHLY ESTIMATES**

January 5, 2016

452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Original Contract Amount</u>	<u>Change Orders</u>	<u>Paid to Date</u>	<u>Due this Estimate</u>
1. STRAND ASSOCIATES				
Well #9 Construction Estimate #9	\$ 157,200.00	\$ -	\$ 109,562.20	\$ 9,976.40
2. OLYMPIC BUILDERS				
Well #9 Reconstruction & Filter Addition Construction Estimate #7	\$ 2,763,593.00	\$ 21,046.30	\$ 1,579,007.35	\$ 212,116.95
3. SEH INC.				
Riders Club Rd. & PH Design Estimate #6	\$ 148,348.18	\$ -	\$ 52,268.43	\$ 7,264.52
4. SEH INC.				
Phase 1 – Waterfront Design Estimate #2	\$ 147,400.00	\$ -	\$ 7,484.33	\$ 23,967.79
5. STRAND ASSOCIATES				
Well #8 – Electrical Consulting Design Estimate #1 – FINAL	\$ 4,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,609.13
6. STATE OF WI – DOT				
Riders Club Road Design – State Plan Review (5991-02-56) Estimate #1	\$ 60,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 436.96

Reviewed 1/7/16 by Jarrod Holter

**Board of Public Works
of the City of Onalaska**

Tuesday, January 5, 2016

13

493					
494	7. STATE OF WI – DOT				
495	PH/Braund Street				
496	Design – State Plan Review				
497	(5991-02-53)				
498	Estimate #1	\$ 50,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 701.25
499					
500	8. STATE OF WI – DOT				
501	San. Sewer & Watermain Relocation				
502	Construction – I-90 Bike Trail				
503	(1071-06-86)				
504	Estimate #6	\$ 591,046.00	\$ -	\$ 274,508.58	\$ 37.75
505					
506	9. AYRES ASSOCIATES (02632)				
507	I-90 Sanitary Sewer				
508	Design				
509	Estimate #3	\$ 48,600.00	\$ -	\$ 4,626.98	\$ 1,469.53
510					
511	10. MINNESOTA ICE LLC				
512	Omni Center Arena				
513	Ice System Replacement				
514	Construction				
515	Estimate #3	\$ 414,914.00	\$ 8,969.90	\$ 356,168.30	\$ 46,521.40
516					
517	11. STRAND ASSOCIATES				
518	Well #9				
519	Safe Drinking Water Loan Assistance				
520	Estimate #7	\$ 16,500.00	\$ -	\$ 9,354.28	\$ 678.84
521					
522	12. STATE OF WI – DOT				
523	2 nd Ave./STH 35 – Design				
524	(7190-05-00)				
525	Estimate #24	\$ 125,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 178,427.35	\$ 482.91
526					
527	13. STATE OF WI – DOT				
528	STH 35 – 2 nd Ave. SW to Poplar St.				
529	Community Sensitive Design/ Lighting Match – Construction				
530	(7190-05-71)				
531	Estimate #4	\$ 50,176.00	\$ -	\$ 41,132.05	\$ 947.78
532					
533					

534 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Ald. Blomquist, to approve the 13 pay estimates as shown
535 on the document dated January 5, 2016.

536

537 On voice vote, motion carried.

538

539 **Adjournment**

540

541 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Mayor Chilsen, to adjourn at 7:16 p.m.

542

543 On voice vote, motion carried.

544

545

546 Recorded by:

547

548 Kirk Bey