

1 The Meeting of the Board of Public Works of the City of Onalaska was called to order at 6:30
2 p.m. on Tuesday, October 6, 2015. It was noted that the meeting had been announced and a
3 notice posted at City Hall.

4
5 Roll call was taken with the following members present: Mayor Joe Chilsen, Ald. Jim Binash
6 (alternate for Ald. Barry Blomquist), City Engineer Jarrod Holter, Financial Services
7 Director/Treasurer Fred Buehler, Ald. Jim Bialecki

8
9 Also Present: Assistant City Engineer Kevin Schubert, Ald. Bob Muth, Ald. Harvey Bertrand,
10 Parks and Recreation Director Dan Wick, Assistant Fire Chief Troy Gudie, Police Chief Jeff
11 Trotnic

12
13 **Item 2 – Approval of minutes from previous meeting**

14
15 Motion by Mayor Chilsen, second by Ald. Binash, to approve the minutes from the previous
16 meeting as printed and on file in the City Clerk’s Office.

17
18 On voice vote, motion carried.

19
20 **Item 3 – Public Input (limited to 3 minutes/individual)**

21
22 Ald. Bialecki called for anyone wishing to provide public input.

23
24 **Larry Pohja/President, Friends of the Onalaska Library**
25 **626 Gilster Street**
26 **Onalaska**

27
28 “I’m here tonight to ask for your support for the library storage addition, which is under Section
29 VII, Item 61 [of the 2016 Capital Improvements Budget]. The library is in desperate need of
30 more storage. At the present time they are storing items used for programming and events held
31 at the library at other branches of the county libraries. This addition will consist of two walls
32 and a roof. The location has been looked at by Mr. Dan Wick, who thinks it would be the best
33 place for the storage addition. He also stated that if this addition was placed at this location our
34 roof problem in this area of the library could be corrected at the same time. In 2009, upon
35 completion of the remodeling and the addition to the library, the project was finished well under
36 budget. One reason for this was about 5,000 square feet of needed space was cut from the plans.
37 According to the Council minutes of February 10, 2009, [former Third District] Alderperson
38 Kim Smith stated, ‘It is the desire of the Library Commission – and I hope the desire of the
39 Council – to reserve some of the excess funds for some major project that we know will be
40 coming up for the library.’ A motion was made by Alderperson Smith and seconded by [former
41 First District] Alderperson [Dennis] Aspenson to hold \$220,000 back for future library

42 renovations or repair projects. On voice vote, this motion carried. Of this total, \$100,000 was to
43 be used for Phase III, which was remodeling the museum area for added storage and workspace
44 for the library. Plans were made and are in place regarding how that space would be remodeled
45 since the museum was scheduled to be there for only a few more years. According to the
46 minutes recorded June 1, 2010 at a meeting attended by Mr. Clay Pollert, a member of the
47 Library Commission, and with [former] Mayor Bialecki, the Mayor indicated that the museum
48 would not be housed in the library after the new tourism center is constructed on the waterfront.
49 The museum would be constructed there. I think we can all agree that this isn't going to happen.
50 Since it is believed that the museum will stay at the library, we are requesting that some of these
51 funds be used for needed storage. This expansion would have no bearing on the Capital
52 [Improvements] Budget since this money was bonded back in 2008 and should be available. The
53 last update on the balance of this account from the Mayor on April 8, 2015 was \$218,138.44.
54 Once again, according to the Council minutes of February 10, 2009, this money can be used at
55 future discretion ...”

56
57 Jarrod told Larry he had 30 seconds remaining.

58
59 Larry concluded, “Please give this item consideration to help relieve the library of its storage
60 problem and make it possible for the staff to have needed items for programming and events in
61 the Onalaska Library instead of scattered at other branches. Thanks for your time.”

62
63 Ald. Bialecki asked Larry to tell him the date of the meeting he had attended with Library
64 Commission member Clay Pollert.

65
66 Larry reiterated that the meeting had taken place on June 1, 2010.

67
68 Ald. Bialecki noted that he was employed by the City of Tomah in 2010 and told Larry the date
69 of this meeting is incorrect.

70
71 Larry noted this was the date that appeared on the Library Commission minutes, which include
72 Clay stating he had attended a previous meeting where then-Mayor Bialecki indicated that the
73 museum would not be housed in the library. Larry said the meeting might not have occurred on
74 June 1, 2010 and that he assumes it had occurred prior to June because the Library Commission
75 minutes were from June 1, 2010.

76
77 Ald. Bialecki said the meeting might have taken place with former Mayor Mike Giese, or
78 perhaps he might have met with Clay 2½ years or more prior to 2010.

79
80 **Tina Antony/Housing Specialist, Springbrook Community Assisted Living**
81 **861 Critter Court**
82 **Onalaska**

83
84 “First of all, I would like to thank the city for allowing us to have permit parking during our new
85 construction where we added 38 new apartments to our assisted living community. If any of you
86 have not had the opportunity to visit the new, improved expanded community that is
87 Springbrook, I encourage you to do so. We have a lot to offer. I think everything went well with
88 the permit parking that was allowed during the construction time, and so now we’re here tonight
89 to request two-hour parking on the far side of Critter Court across from our building to
90 accommodate everything that we have to offer the community from this point forward. We
91 added 38 new apartments to our assisted memory and advanced-age community, and at the same
92 time we are opening our arms to Onalaska and the surrounding community and inviting
93 community members to come in and participate in various offerings from Springbrook. One, we
94 have a warm water therapy pool that’s 92 degrees and very popular with the Warm Water
95 Therapy Club. I think you have a handout in front of you with all the community programs that
96 we currently offer and are scheduled to offer in 2016. We have the Warm Water Therapy Club.
97 We offer private swimming lessons. We offer our fitness room to the Onalaska Park and Rec
98 Program. The Holmen and Onalaska special needs programs use our pool twice a week for their
99 pool program. We have essential oils classes. We also have professional meetings there [such
100 as] the Caregiver Coalition of the area. [The group] meets bimonthly, and it’s a group of
101 caregiver coalition professional members throughout the community that are working to solve
102 issues such as Alzheimer’s awareness. We’ve also partnered with the regional Alzheimer’s
103 Association to offer community education and bring community members in to help them
104 through their journey, which oftentimes is a very tough journey. We also will be offering a
105 memory café, which is a support group for caregivers of those with a dementia diagnosis starting
106 in 2016, and we would love to accommodate those people. Those parking spaces will be short-
107 term. What we’re asking for is the capability to allow two-hour parking on the far side of Critter
108 Court in order to accommodate that without inconveniencing our current residents and their
109 families. Thank you.”

110
111 **Debbie Harris, Springbrook Director**
112 **861 Critter Court**
113 **Onalaska**

114
115 “I just want to echo what Tina Antony had already said. Our parking is just to accommodate the
116 residents of Onalaska that come to our community. We would like to be able to continue these
117 programs that she had mentioned, and to do so we need to have a place for the residents of
118 Onalaska who come to visit our community to be able to park. We’ve been in Onalaska since
119 2007, and we contribute to the community in many ways. We hope that two-hour parking would
120 be approved and move forward. Thank you so much for your time.”

121
122 **Leslie Thesing**
123 **Holmen School District Representative**

124
125 “Both the Holmen School District and the Onalaska School District use the therapy pool there,
126 and we need to have parking for our buses that come in and drop off our students. Some of our
127 students are severely developmentally disabled, so we really need to have the bus stay in that
128 area in case there is an emergency and they need to take a student back to school or anything else
129 that happens. If there was an evacuation we [need to] have the availability to take the students
130 back to the bus. It would be really important for us to be able to have some parking while we’re
131 at the pool, where our students are really engaging in the water activities that have been really
132 beneficial for them. Thank you.”

133
134 **Francis Spindler**
135 **2010 Esther Drive**
136 **Onalaska**

137
138 “I’m here to address Item 5, and I appreciate the city and the Board of Public Works reviewing
139 the city’s sidewalk replacement policy. To paraphrase, I feel like homeowners are in a situation
140 where we don’t really have control. The city owns the boulevard, the sidewalk and the trees.
141 We’re given the option to hire a contractor, but we’re told by that same mandate to replace
142 sidewalks that are destroyed by city trees that we can’t touch. We can’t remove the trees, and we
143 can’t hurt the roots. In my case, where I have two trees that have damaged two sections of
144 separate sidewalks, if I were to hire a contractor I don’t think it’s under my responsibility under
145 the direction of the city to be able to deal with the trees that are causing, in my case, the
146 replacement of same sidewalk sections after eight to 10 years. In my neighborhood there are
147 some neighbors that are replacing that same sidewalk three times. The letter that I send the
148 board and the Mayor and City Council asks you to reconsider the continued reassessment of
149 sidewalk replacements to the property owner. It’s city property that’s damaging city property,
150 and yet we can’t do anything about it except pay for that continuing damage. We would like you
151 to review your current decision that says that you can continue to reassess the property owner for
152 sidewalk repairs. And I see by the return of either the contractor or city staff that has gone out
153 and remarked sidewalk sections that are also indicating that they can cut roots of some of the
154 trees. But it doesn’t address the root sections in the boulevard where my lawn mower is going to
155 hit that root several times and maybe damage my lawn mower. In fact, what was originally
156 identified in the request for me to pay for that sidewalk section identified two sections of
157 sidewalk. With this follow-up, there’s a third section added. What I’m asking for is if you’re
158 going to ask us to pay for it we have to have more control over who’s going to do it and how it’s
159 going to be done because in my case I’ve got one section where the city has indicated to replace
160 one section of sidewalk that’s uprooted by the root, whereas I feel if I raise the section that’s not
161 been marked by the city and not replace those two, that would raise the other section of the
162 sidewalk up to equalize it. We’re just asking for some ability to control our own expenditures,
163 so we ask that the city review its policy and actually pay for the damages that the city trees are
164 causing to city sidewalks.”

165
166 Mayor Chilsen asked Francis if the photographs that were distributed to the board are of his
167 property.

168
169 Jarrod said the photographs have been distributed for later.

170
171 Francis said he is willing to provide photographs of the sidewalk in front of his property and
172 referred to the section of sidewalk that will always rise due to the presence of roots underneath it.
173 Francis also reiterated he is asking the city to review its policy.

174
175 **Bob Muth, Second District Alderperson**
176 **317 Spruce Street**
177 **Onalaska**

178
179 “I want to look at Item 7, [which is] your review and consideration for the Great River Landing
180 Phase I. I want to go on record [and state] that the portion of the Waterfront Project I’m against
181 is the spray fountain and the amphitheater, noting the cost for the construction of a fountain is
182 \$200,000, plus \$49,200 for the utilities and an additional \$200,000 for the amphitheater. We
183 have an Aquatic Center, and I think that’s where we should have this [fountain]. I think this is a
184 tremendous waste of money. I called Jarrod today to guess what the width was from [State
185 Trunk] Highway 35 to the railroad, and he estimated about 275 feet. We’re going to encourage
186 children to be playing between Highway 35 and the railroad. I just do not agree with this taking
187 place. I think it’s dangerous. It’s noisy between trains parking down there and blowing their
188 whistles and the traffic. The idea of an amphitheater down there doesn’t make sense to me at all.
189 A gentleman at one of the meetings for the city on this subject suggested Rowe Park, which I
190 thought was a great idea. But again, I just think it’s dangerous, it’s noisy, and it’s something that
191 we should look at to cut out of this project. I think if we cut it out early enough we’ll save
192 money [in engineering costs] if the engineers are not drawing up ideas and schematics for this
193 project. I think we have two priorities down there. We have the trailhead for the bike trail,
194 which we have an agreement with the [Wisconsin] DNR. That’s a no-brainer and we have to do
195 that. The second thing is, for the 40 years I’ve lived here I’ve heard nothing but complaints
196 about parking downtown. We have to get some parking down there. Those are the two priorities
197 that we have to go with. I’ve talked to a number of people, and I haven’t found anybody who
198 wants to eliminate those two things off of this project. I would hope we could look at that as
199 soon as possible before we start paying to have engineers do drawings when we find out we
200 really don’t need it. Thank you.”

201
202 Ald. Bialecki called three times for anyone else wishing to provide public input and closed that
203 portion of the meeting.

204
205 **Consideration and possible action on the following items:**

206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246

Item 4 – Review and consideration of snowmobile trail within the city for 2015-2016 winter season including crossing State Trunk Highway 35 at Oak Forest Drive

Jarrold noted that board members’ packets include a map outlining the proposed route throughout the city. This is the same route that has been utilized for several years. Jarrold noted the route crosses STH 35 and does not go under the railroad tracks as was the case for several years. Jarrold said he had received an email from the Wisconsin Department of Transportation Traffic Engineer that states his support for the trail. Jarrold also said he is not aware of any concerns from Police Chief Trotnic over the last two years. Jarrold said staff recommends approval of this item.

Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Mayor Chilsen, to approve snowmobile trail within the city for 2015-2016 winter season including crossing State Trunk Highway 35 at Oak Forest Drive.

On voice vote, motion carried.

Item 5 – Review and consideration of sidewalk replacement policy in relation to City-owned boulevard trees

Jarrold noted that he had started the sidewalk program nearly 20 years ago and said residents have consistently expressed concerns over trees that have “heaved the sidewalk.” Jarrold also noted that Kevin has been approached by citizens while he has marked sidewalks and said the emails and letters he has received concerning the sidewalk issue – copies of three such letters are included in board members’ packets – is the largest number of letters he has ever received at one time.

Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Fred, to ask City Attorney Sean O’Flaherty to evaluate concerns related to sidewalk replacement policy in relation to City-owned boulevard trees, to recommend what, if any, changes need to be made, and also to establish any liability to the city in the Capital Improvements Budget.

Jarrold noted that staff has made two complete trips through the city checking sidewalks, and also noted that this process is done every seven to eight years. Jarrold noted that staff has addressed many of the older sidewalks in disrepair and said a majority of the damage to sidewalks over eight years is due to trees. Jarrold acknowledged that several trees are located along boulevards, but also noted there are trees located on the property owner’s side. Jarrold noted that approximately 75 percent of sidewalks that are marked for repair have been affected by trees. Jarrold referred to photographs of Francis Spindler’s neighborhood that show what staff has marked, and also that staff attempts to cut the tree root when the stone has been removed. However, Jarrold noted a new or different tree root will raise the sidewalk over the course of

247 eight years. Jarrod said there will be a yearly budgetary impact on the sidewalk maintenance
248 program and noted these costs will not be recouped through the assessment process. Jarrod said
249 this item may be referred to Sean so he may determine liability.

250

251 Ald. Bialecki asked Jarrod if he has budgeted \$75,000 in the 2016 CIB for the sidewalk repair
252 program.

253

254 Jarrod said yes and noted these funds also are utilized in sections of the city for handicap ramps,
255 corners and curb replacement. Jarrod estimated that \$25,000 to \$30,000 has been expended in
256 2015 to repair sidewalks affected by boulevard trees.

257

258 Ald. Binash asked if city staff has ever considered “mud-jacking.”

259

260 Jarrod noted that sidewalks are not marked unless there is a ¾-inch difference in the two
261 sidewalk stones and said staff has looked into other methods such as mud-jacking and grinding.
262 Jarrod noted that boulevard tree roots can range in diameter from 1 inch to 4 inches and said
263 problems will return within one to two years if staff mud-jacks a sidewalk. Jarrod also said the
264 grinding method can become costly for the bid price. Jarrod said, “Overall, tearing [the
265 sidewalk] out and putting it back in is the longest term, bigger fix that we’ve found.” Jarrod also
266 noted that the city’s bid price includes removing any roots as marked.

267

268 Ald. Binash asked if a tree has ever perished as a result of removing a root.

269

270 Jarrod said that while trees have died due to utility installation, he has not seen one perish due to
271 the city’s sidewalk program.

272

273 Ald. Binash said it appears that the city ordinance clearly puts the onus of repairing the sidewalk
274 on the property owner and asked if Sean also would examine this.

275

276 Ald. Bialecki said his motion includes asking Sean to do so.

277

278 Jarrod said Chapter 2 (“Streets and Sidewalks”) of the city ordinance would need to be updated
279 to reflect any new policy change that would occur.

280

281 Fred said it is his understanding that there will be no change regarding special assessments being
282 presented.

283

284 Ald. Bialecki said he will wait to hear Sean’s opinion.

285

286 Jarrod said special assessments will be delivered at the end of month to property owners. Jarrod
287 also promised that the board will “act expeditiously” on this item and discuss it at the November

288 3 Board of Public Works meeting.

289

290 On voice vote, motion carried.

291

292 **Item 6 – Review and consideration of parking restrictions along Critter Court**

293

294 Ald. Bialecki asked Jarrod if a request for two-hour parking will require a public hearing.

295

296 Jarrod said the city notifies all property owners in the affected area and holds a public hearing.

297 Jarrod noted that an adjacent property owner had expressed concerns when Springbrook had

298 requested altering parking restrictions along Critter Court at the August 2014 Board of Public

299 Works meeting and recommended holding a public hearing in this instance.

300

301 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Mayor Chilsen, to refer to a public hearing at the November

302 3 Board of Public Works meeting a request for two-hour parking along Critter Court.

303

304 Ald. Bialecki acknowledged that there might be one adjacent property owner who would object

305 to the request and asked Jarrod to speak to the Fire Department about the ability to turn around

306 its trucks in this area, especially during the winter months.

307

308 Jarrod noted that Springbrook had determined the turning radius for the ladder apparatus. Jarrod

309 also noted Gundersen Health, which employs approximately 500 individuals, is located next to

310 Springbrook and said that two-hour parking will become all-day parking if it is not enforced.

311 Jarrod said he is unsure of what type of burden would be placed on the Police Department to

312 enforce two-hour parking.

313

314 Ald. Binash noted the challenges that have been present for years on Critter Court still exist and

315 said even allowing two-hour parking might not solve the issue for Springbrook. Ald. Binash also

316 noted he had read the Fire Department stated the turning radius would be obstructed if parking

317 were to be allowed on Critter Court.

318

319 On voice vote, motion carried.

320

321 **Item 7 – Review and consideration of Great River Landing Phase I**

322

323 A. Funding sources for Phase I of project

324

325 Ald. Bialecki said he believes the funding source will be Tourism dollars.

326

327 B. Professional engineering services for completion of final design services for Phase I of

328 the Great River Landing Project in an amount not to exceed \$158,900 including funding

329 sources

330

331 Ald. Bialecki said he will refer Item 7B to the Capital Improvements Budget process instead of
332 addressing it twice.

333

334 Jarrod said Item 7A may be discussed as part of the Capital Improvements Budget and noted that
335 part of the Great River Landing Project (\$100,000) already has been bonded for as part of the
336 2015 CIB. Jarrod noted that there is a proposal to utilize the remainder of the funds from the
337 Undesignated Tourism Balance and said Item 7B is an actionable item.

338

339 Motion by Jarrod, second by Ald. Bialecki, to approve final engineering services for completion
340 of final design services for Phase I of the Great River Landing Project in an amount not to
341 exceed \$158,900, including utilizing \$58,900 from the Undesignated Tourism Balance.

342

343 For clarification, Fred noted that the Tourism Commission had gone on record at its September
344 30 meeting to approve utilizing \$58,900 from the Special Projects Fund to be utilized for
345 completing the Phase I design of the Great River Landing Project.

346

347 Motion restated:

348

349 To approve final engineering services for completion of final design services for Phase I of the
350 Great River Landing Project in an amount not to exceed \$158,900, including utilizing \$58,900
351 from the Special Projects Designated Fund Balance.

352

353 Ald. Bialecki referred to Item 40-a under the 2016 CIB and noted that \$160,000 has been
354 budgeted for the design of the Great River Landing Project and asked if that total would be
355 eliminated off the proposed budget.

356

357 Jarrod told Ald. Bialecki he is correct and noted it would be utilizing other designated sources.
358 Jarrod noted the \$158,900 total includes the items Ald. Muth had referred to during public input
359 and said it would be wise to obtain direction from the Common Council as to which items the
360 Alderpersons want to see removed. Jarrod said he agrees with Ald. Muth that it would be unwise
361 to include items in the design process that will not be constructed. Jarrod said, "This would be a
362 not-to-exceed number. If we decide to not design a certain portion of the project we would not
363 spend that money."

364

365 Mayor Chilsen said it is his understanding that the board is taking the correct action if the item is
366 passed as a not-to-exceed amount.

367

368 Fred said the board "has answered [its] own questions" if \$404,000 is removed from Item 40-a
369 under the proposed 2016 CIB.

370
371 Ald. Bialecki asked the board to delay voting on this item until it discusses the CIB under Item
372 10.

373
374 **Item 8 – Review and consideration of change order #2 & #3 for Omni Center Arena 1**
375 **Building Renovation project**

376
377 Jarrod noted that staff has approved both change order #2 and change order #3 so the project
378 may meet its timelines and be completed. Change order #3 totals \$3,781.49 and is for the
379 installation of a floor drain that appeared on previous plans. This floor drain was hidden beneath
380 the existing chiller system, so it could not be confirmed in the field. There was no floor drain
381 present when the chiller was removed, so the floor drain had to be reinstalled. Change order #2,
382 which totals \$652.47, is for flashing and sealing part of the roofing.

383
384 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Ald. Binash, to approve change order #2 & #3 for Omni
385 Center Arena 1 Building Renovation project.

386
387 On voice vote, motion carried.

388
389 **Item 9 – Review and consideration of installation of soft starter or variable frequency**
390 **drives at Well #8 including professional engineering services**

391
392 Jarrod said Well #8 began malfunctioning during the summer, and it began to shut down more
393 and more frequently. Jarrod said Well #8 currently only functions during the day and is shut
394 down at night (Both Well #7 and Well #10 are utilized during the evening). Jarrod said the
395 variable frequency drives are the industry standard and noted there are benefits to the VFD such
396 as it optimizes power used for each gallon of water pumped. It also ramps up and down at a
397 longer rate of time, and there also is no need for a control valve. Jarrod noted that staff had
398 spoken with both Xcel Energy and Riverland Energy, which serves Well #8, and said there is a
399 VFD that is less costly at \$75,000. Meanwhile, an 18-pulse VFD costs \$128,000. Jarrod said
400 staff does not see the benefit of installing VFDs at this time and recommended replacing the
401 existing soft starter, which is a reduced voltage solid-state starter, that was quoted at \$11,200.
402 Jarrod recommended approving a not-to-exceed price of \$20,000 because the existing control
403 valve needs to be recalibrated.

404
405 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Ald. Binash, to approve the installation of a soft starter at
406 Well #8, including professional engineering services, at a cost not to exceed \$20,000.

407
408 Jarrod said two bids will be obtained and noted a purchase order will be coming through and
409 reviewed by Fred.

410

411 On voice vote, motion carried.

412

413 **Item 10 – Review and consideration of 2016 Capital Improvements Budget**

414

415 Ald. Bialecki asked to begin with Item 40 (the Great River Landing Project) under the Planning
416 Department and said he agrees with Ald. Muth that there is no need for a spray park or an
417 amphitheater.

418

419 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Mayor Chilsen, to remove \$200,000 for a spray fountain and
420 utilities, \$200,000 for an amphitheater and stone steps, and \$49,200 for spray fountain paving
421 from Phase I of the Great River Landing Project.

422

423 Ald. Bialecki referred to a snowmobile safety retaining wall included in the proposed Phase I
424 budget and asked if the \$35.00 amount is accurate.

425

426 Jarrod said this total is incorrect.

427

428 Ald. Bialecki asked that consideration be given to constructing the Tourism Center where the
429 proposed spray fountain was to be located.

430

431 Fred asked for clarification on the totals for the items Ald. Bialecki had asked to be deleted.

432

433 Ald. Bialecki reiterated that he wants to remove \$200,000 for the spray fountain, \$200,000 for
434 the amphitheater, and \$49,200 for the spray fountain paving.

435

436 Jarrod said he believes the \$49,200 should remain for now because a hard surface would be
437 necessary for benches.

438

439 Ald. Bialecki said he is agreeable to doing so and reiterated he wants consideration to be given to
440 constructing the Tourism Center at this location.

441

442 For clarification, Fred asked if \$400,000 is being deleted from the proposed project amount of
443 \$2,404,000.

444

445 Ald. Bialecki said yes and inquired about the \$35,000 budgeted for stone seating in the grassed
446 area.

447

448 Jarrod said this likely would be a block of limestone that would serve as a seating area. This
449 would not be part of the amphitheater. Jarrod noted that there are items that will be bid as
450 alternates and said once a final design is brought forward items may be refined and the Common
451 Council may be given more input if there are other items the Alderperson want removed. Jarrod

452 said, "We want to get some latitude on being able to bring forward something that we think is
453 approvable by the public and the Council. But at the same time, we don't want to go through the
454 list and cut every little thing right now."

455

456 Ald. Bialecki referred to a proposed retaining wall for snowmobile safety and noted this is listed
457 as being 2,750 square feet at \$35.00 per square foot. Ald. Bialecki noted the correct total is
458 \$96,250.

459

460 Jarrod noted this wall also would be partially utilized for access to the riverfront and the railroad
461 crossing.

462

463 Motion restated:

464

465 To remove \$200,000 for a spray fountain and utilities, \$200,000 for an amphitheater and stone
466 steps, and \$49,200 for spray fountain paving from Phase I of the Great River Landing Project.

467

468 Jarrod asked if the paving will remain for now, noting that this more of a Main Street extension
469 paving.

470

471 Motion and second withdrawn.

472

473 Ald. Bialecki asked that the budget be very specific on how the \$49,200 would be spent by the
474 time the 2016 CIB has its public hearing. Ald. Bialecki also reiterated that he wants to see the
475 area where the spray fountain would have gone be dedicated to a Tourism Center.

476

477 Jarrod expressed hope that the city will receive more favorable bid numbers, noting there is a 10-
478 percent contingency in the listed costs. This will be lowered once the final design has been
479 completed. Jarrod also noted construction engineering has been included in the costs and
480 expressed optimism that Kevin can do much of the construction engineering on the site and
481 thereby reduce costs. Jarrod said, "I think we're going to come in better than what I see in this
482 estimate. I'm very hopeful that that will happen."

483

484 Ald. Bialecki asked if this is a February-March bid process.

485

486 Jarrod said he is hopeful that a bid will be in hand by March 1 if the design is approved now and
487 it goes through the CIB process.

488

489 Motion restated:

490

491 To remove \$200,000 for a spray fountain and utilities and \$200,000 for an amphitheater and
492 stone steps from Phase I of the Great River Landing Project.

493
494 On voice vote, motion carried.
495
496 Motion by Fred, second by Ald. Bialecki, to delete \$60,000 under Item 40-a of the 2016 CIB and
497 transfer it to ‘Less Amount Other Sources,’ of which the Designated Fund Balance would be
498 utilized within the Special Projects Fund.

499
500 On voice vote, motion carried.

501
502 Fred asked that the board now address Item 7B.

503
504 Ald. Bialecki asked if the \$158,900 under Item 7B may be decreased.

505
506 Jarrod said it may be lowered, but he also noted that the quote is written so that the water feature
507 and the Main Street extension are one unit. Jarrod asked if this may be forwarded to the
508 Common Council with a not-to-exceed total of \$158,900. Jarrod said this item will appear on the
509 regular Council agenda at the October 13 meeting and promised to give the Council a firm
510 financial figure with the aforementioned items having been deleted.

511
512 Motion by Jarrod, second by Ald. Bialecki, to make a friendly amendment to the motion under
513 Item 7B and forward to the Common Council a not-to-exceed total of \$158,900 for professional
514 engineering services for completion of final design services for Phase I of the Great River
515 Landing Project. A firm financial figure with the deletion of the water feature and the Main
516 Street extension will be given to the Common Council at its October 13 meeting.

517
518 Vote on the Friendly Amendment:

519
520 On voice vote, motion carried.

521
522 Vote on original motion, as amended:

523
524 On voice vote, motion carried.

525
526 Discussion began on the 2016 CIB.

527
528 **Public Works Department**

529
530 Fred asked Jarrod if he believes it might be necessary “to take a step back” from Item No. 3
531 (Railroad Quiet Zone Study).

532
533 Jarrod said he recommends delaying the quiet zone study to 2017 since the city does not yet have

534 the final design for the Great River Landing and the trailhead area.

535

536 Motion by Fred, second by Ald. Bialecki, to remove Item No. 3a and 3b (Railroad Quiet Zone
537 Study/\$250,000) from the 2016 CIB.

538

539 On voice vote, motion carried.

540

541 **Item No. 7 – Green Coulee intersection improvements at East Main Street, a. Design, 1,
542 Preliminary (\$100,000) and 2, Final (\$200,000):** Jarrod said he does not see how the CIB
543 would sustain what is projected to be a \$4 million project.

544

545 Motion by Fred, second by Mayor Chilsen, to remove Item No. 7 (Green Coulee intersection
546 improvements at East Main Street/\$300,000) from the 2016 CIB.

547

548 On voice vote, motion carried.

549

550 Ald. Bialecki expressed hope that the city could partner with the Wisconsin Department of
551 Transportation and address this intersection.

552

553 Jarrod noted that the 2016 CIB includes multiple paving projects and said the board must
554 prioritize them.

555

556 **Item No.4 – Street repaving for Holiday Heights, East Young Dr. from West Young Dr. to
557 Medary Lane (\$34,000):** Jarrod said this project likely can be delayed.

558

559 Motion by Jarrod, second by Fred, to remove Item No. 4 (Street repaving for Holiday
560 Heights/\$34,000) from the 2016 CIB.

561

562 On voice vote, motion carried.

563

564 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Fred, to remove Item No. 5 (East Main St.-Theater Rd. to
565 Marcou Rd. mill and overlay/\$723,000) from the 2016 CIB.

566

567 Jarrod noted that this item has been eliminated from past CIBs and said East Main Street carries
568 a significant amount of traffic at 9,000 automobiles a day. Jarrod noted the PASER rating is
569 decreasing and said he had hoped to address East Main Street before complete failure occurred
570 and the pavement needed to be replaced. However, Jarrod acknowledged there are city streets in
571 worse condition.

572

573 On voice vote, motion carried.

574

575 Fred referred to Item No. 2 (Pavement maintenance program with the La Crosse County
576 Highway Department) and asked if the \$125,000 under 'Less Amount Other Sources' is directly
577 related to the City of Onalaska assuming control of a particular section of roadway.
578

579 Jarrod said the \$125,000 is La Crosse County's portion for taking over County Trunk Highway S
580 back to Sand Lake Road.
581

582 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Ald. Binash, to remove Item No. 8 (Crossing Meadows Dr.
583 pavement replacement – 12th Ave. South to 1,200 feet east/\$182,000) from the 2016 CIB.
584

585 Jarrod noted there is a 250-foot stretch of curb and gutter that is in disrepair and said this impacts
586 this stretch of roadway even though it is not included in the PASER rating. Jarrod said the Street
587 Department will have to cold mix patch the entire curb line if Item No. 8 is deleted.
588

589 On voice vote, motion carried.
590

591 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Ald. Binash, to remove Item No. 15 (King St. reconstruction
592 – 5th Ave. North to 6th Ave. North & 6th Ave. North reconstruction – Main St. to Monroe
593 St./\$264,000) from the 2016 CIB.
594

595 Jarrod noted that this project includes funding to address a water main in this area and also a
596 section of 6th Avenue North that is deteriorating. However, Jarrod said staff can continue to
597 perform patchwork and noted sidewalk alterations will be included.
598

599 Ald. Bialecki told Jarrod it might be possible to revisit some of the proposed projects that are
600 being deleted.
601

602 On voice vote, motion carried.
603

604 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Jarrod, to remove Item No. 27 (Street repaving – Oak Forest
605 Dr. – 12th Ave. South to Wilson St./\$387,000) from the 2016 CIB.
606

607 On voice vote, motion carried.
608

609 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Fred, to remove Item No. 31 (Street Sign inventory/\$70,000)
610 from the 2016 CIB.
611

612 Jarrod noted there are approximately 7,000 street signs in the City of Onalaska and said there is
613 no indexing. Jarrod said he considers this to be "a very valuable tool" that would assist in street
614 sign maintenance, but he added he understands why Ald. Bialecki is asking to delete it.
615

616 Ald. Bialecki noted that other items have surfaced over the last few years, including having to
617 address the Emerald Ash Borer.

618
619 On voice vote, motion carried.

620
621 Fred asked Jarrod to share information regarding Item No. 19 (French Road booster station and
622 water main).

623
624 Jarrod said the developer has requested that the booster station be installed; however, no firm
625 plans have been submitted. Jarrod noted that this project has appeared in the CIB since 2011 and
626 said he has had no contact with engineering plans. Jarrod added, "I do not see this happening
627 next year."

628
629 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Fred, to remove Item No. 19 (French Rd. booster station and
630 water main/\$750,000) from the 2016 CIB.

631
632 On voice vote, motion carried.

633
634 Fred asked Jarrod to discuss Item No. 24 (3rd Avenue North – Poplar St. to cul-de-sac south of
635 John St.).

636
637 Jarrod said this item pertains to the Village of Holmen bringing a proposed force main for its
638 sanitary sewerage to the City of Onalaska. The force main would run along 3rd Avenue North
639 and discharge into the city's sanitary sewer system to be conveyed to the City of La Crosse.
640 Jarrod noted that the Village of Holmen has been working with MSA to design the sanitary
641 sewer system and said the 3rd Avenue North utilities date back to the 1940s and 1950s.
642 Meanwhile, some of the curbs date back to the 1960s. Jarrod said, "It would not be prudent for
643 them to replace half the street and not have us replace our utilities at the same time." Jarrod said
644 that projects such as these typically are done in-house, but noted it would be difficult to have two
645 different sets of plans by the City of Onalaska and the Village of Holmen. Jarrod said it would
646 be better to have "one seamless set of plans" and noted the \$70,000 would be designated for
647 designing this project and bidding it out. The City of Onalaska would perform the construction
648 engineering. Jarrod suggested removing Item No. 24 from the CIB and moving it to 'Less
649 Amount Other Sources' and utilizing Sanitary Sewer Utility funds.

650
651 Motion by Jarrod, second by Ald. Bialecki, to move the \$70,000 under Item No. 24 (3rd Ave.
652 North – Poplar St. to cul-de-sac south of John St.) of the 2016 CIB to 'Less Amount Other
653 Sources.'

654
655 On voice vote, motion carried.

656

657 Fred inquired about Item No. 16 (2nd Avenue/STH 35 crosswalk replacement).
658

659 Jarrod noted there are crosswalks that were installed on STH 35 in 2011. There is concrete
660 pavement beneath the crosswalks with a sand layer, and then the bricks were installed. Jarrod
661 said the bricks are experiencing deflection and failure and noted he has received multiple
662 complaints about the bumps. Jarrod said this would be a long-term fix to remove the bricks and
663 concrete and retie the new concrete into the existing pavement. Jarrod said he believes this
664 project likely can wait one year, but added he believes it eventually will become necessary either
665 to repair or replace the bricks.

666
667 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Jarrod, to remove Item No. 16 (2nd Avenue/STH 35
668 crosswalk replacement/\$90,000) from the 2016 CIB.

669
670 On voice vote, motion carried.

671
672 Ald. Bialecki said he is “iffy” on Item No. 14 (Sand Lake Road reconstruction – Redwood St. to
673 Riders Club Rd.) because of a potential other project.

674
675 Jarrod said the \$50,000 for design work would be utilized as the city’s portion for something that
676 would need to be rebuilt in this area. Jarrod said he views this as “seed money” to assist with the
677 city design of facilities in that area to accommodate future development. Jarrod said he does not
678 object to removing the \$50,000 if there is something in 2016 that needs action in a timely manner
679 and the city is able to address it. Jarrod said he does not have a specific item on which to utilize
680 the \$50,000 at this time.

681
682 Motion by Jarrod, second by Ald. Bialecki, to remove Item No. 14 (Sand Lake Rd.
683 reconstruction – Redwood St. to Riders Club Rd. Design/\$50,000) from the 2016 CIB.

684
685 On voice vote, motion carried.

686
687 Ald. Bialecki referred to Item No. 26 (Main Street pavement patching – 2014 deferred) and
688 asked if it is necessary that the city do this.

689
690 Jarrod said he believes the Main Street patching should be done and noted that funds from the
691 deferred project had been utilized for the Marcou Road sidewalk project. Jarrod said there are
692 sections of Main Street between Sand Lake Road and 17th Avenue that need to be redone and
693 expressed hope that this will be the final time the city has to patch Main Street. Jarrod said he
694 hopes the city can apply to the State of Wisconsin for reconstruction, but noted that Main Street
695 is not in the state’s six-year program to replace.

696
697 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Mayor Chilsen, to remove Item No. 41 (Purchase land for

698 Fire Station No. 2 – STH 16 & I-90 vicinity/\$396,000) under Fire Department from the 2016
699 CIB.

700

701 Ald. Bialecki said that while he understands the Fire Department's request, the city is not yet in a
702 position to purchase this land.

703

704 On voice vote, motion carried.

705

706 Motion by Mayor Chilsen, second by Ald. Binash, to remove Item No. 42 (Fire
707 engine/pumper/\$600,000) under Fire Department from the 2016 CIB.

708

709 Ald. Bialecki noted that the current model is a 1992 Peterbilt and suggested bonding for half of it
710 in 2016 and the other half in 2017.

711

712 Fred said he would like to see information regarding a trade-in value.

713

714 Assistant Fire Chief Gudie said it is likely there would be good trade-in value for the 1992
715 Peterbilt. Assistant Fire Chief Gudie also noted there likely will be a 5-percent increase each
716 year and told the board the pumper will reappear in the 2017 CIB if it is deleted from the 2016
717 CIB.

718

719 Fred inquired about the trade-in value.

720

721 Assistant Fire Chief Gudie estimated it to be \$10,000 and said he believes the \$600,000 in the
722 budget could be reduced significantly if the Fire Department is given more time to examine the
723 chassis. Assistant Fire Chief Gudie said the Fire Department must determine its needs for the
724 apparatus and how it will fit into the fleet.

725

726 Fred asked Assistant Fire Chief Gudie if Item No. 42 appeared on the 2015 CIB.

727

728 Jarrod said it did not appear in the 2015 CIB.

729

730 Assistant Fire Chief Gudie said the pumper did not appear in the 2015 CIB because the Fire
731 Department's air packs were there instead.

732

733 Jarrod noted a single-axle dump truck was not included in the 2016 CIB even though it was due
734 and said this is the only place in the city budget where large equipment such as dump trucks, end
735 loaders and fire trucks can be obtained. Jarrod noted that a typical piece of equipment such as a
736 dump truck typically will last 20 years and said it is almost necessary to replace one piece of
737 equipment a year. Jarrod noted there are pieces of equipment in each of the five years of the
738 2016-2020 CIB and said it is a matter of prioritization as to which pieces of equipment need to

739 be replaced. Jarrod acknowledged that a fire truck is “a large financial burden,” but suggested it
740 would be good to keep equipment in mind when discussing the budget.

741
742 Assistant Fire Chief Gudie said the Fire Department has attempted to replace a major fire engine
743 every five years. Assistant Fire Chief Gudie noted this item has been included in the five-year
744 CIB and said the board might not have seen it. Assistant Fire Chief Gudie promised the board
745 that the Fire Department will attempt to return to the November 3 Board of Public Works
746 meeting with the lowest price possible, but added it is crucial to address the firefighters’ needs.

747
748 On voice vote, motion carried.

749
750 Ald. Bialecki told Assistant Fire Chief Gudie if he is able to “fine tune” the price without
751 deleting crucial elements and obtain a sound trade-in price he would consider splitting the cost
752 between 2016 and 2017.

753
754 Fred noted the city would receive a substantial discount by paying for a vehicle and entering into
755 a contract prior to receiving it.

756
757 **Parks & Recreation Department**

758
759 **Item No. 45 – Video camera replacement for Aquatic Center and skate park (\$19,000):**
760 Dan noted that CVMIC has a program where the city may apply for grant dollars. CVMIC will
761 contribute up to a \$7,000 match on any projects. Dan said \$7,000 could be removed from the
762 \$19,000.

763
764 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Ald. Binash, to reduce the total of Item No. 45 (Video
765 camera replacement for Aquatic Center and skate park) in the 2016 CIB from \$19,000 to
766 \$12,000.

767
768 On voice vote, motion carried.

769
770 Ald. Bialecki inquired about Item No. 62 (Carpet/Equipment/\$30,000) listed under City Hall.

771
772 Dan asked that this item be placed on hold per Wednesday evening’s Finance and Personnel
773 Committee meeting. Dan noted there are items that are in need of repair and estimated that there
774 will be approximately \$22,000 worth of projects. Dan said this will take care of approximately
775 \$20,000 of the \$30,000 in the budget and expressed a desire to keep \$10,000 to \$12,000 for
776 carpet replacement. Dan said he is attempting to establish a rotation so carpet replacement may
777 be funded each year and suggested reducing the \$30,000 to \$15,000.

778
779 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Ald. Binash, to reduce the total of Item No. 62

780 (Carpet/Equipment) under City Hall in the 2016 CIB from \$30,000 to \$15,000.

781

782 On voice vote, motion carried.

783

784 Fred asked to address Item No. 57 (LED signboard for Omni Center/Tourism) under the Omni
785 Center.

786

787 Dan said he understands if this item needs to be deleted.

788

789 Motion by Fred, second by Ald. Bialecki, to delete Item No. 57 (LED signboard for Omni
790 Center/Tourism/\$15,000) under Omni Center in the 2016 CIB.

791

792 On voice vote, motion carried.

793

794 Dan addressed Item No. 50 (Oak Park #4 playground equipment) under Parks & Recreation
795 Department, stating the \$10,000 listed under 'General' should be moved to the Park Fund.

796

797 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Ald. Binash, to move \$10,000 for Item No. 50 (Oak Park #4
798 playground equipment) to the Park Fund and delete it from the Parks & Recreation Department
799 in the 2016 CIB.

800

801 On voice vote, motion carried.

802

803 Fred asked if the \$10,000 may be moved to 'Less Amount Other Sources.'

804

805 Dan said yes.

806

807 Fred asked to address Item No. 60 (Water cooler/\$4,400) under Omni Center.

808

809 Dan said he does not object to this item being removed.

810

811 Motion by Fred, second by Jarrod, to remove Item No. 60 (Water cooler/\$4,400) under Omni
812 Center in the 2016 CIB.

813

814 On voice vote, motion carried.

815

816 Fred inquired about Item No. 61 (Building addition – storage/\$50,000) under Library.

817

818 Dan referred to Larry Pohja's comments during public input regarding the storage needs at the
819 library and noted that this item has been discussed multiple times.

820

821 Fred noted this item was never on the budget from prior bond issues.

822

823 Dan acknowledged that this is the first time this item has appeared under this bond issue.

824

825 Ald. Bialecki asked if the fascia will blend in with the existing library.

826

827 Dan said the storage area would be constructed by what is currently a window located in the rear
828 of the building, near the hillside. Dan noted that children have climbed onto the library roof
829 utilizing the windowsill in the rear of the building and said staff had met with Steiger
830 Construction about obtaining a price for a storage structure. Two walls and a roof would be
831 needed, and the exterior wall of the library also would be utilized.

832

833 Fred asked if the storage facility would have an exclusive use for a certain item. Fred also asked
834 if the La Crosse County Library is requesting the storage.

835

836 Dan noted the La Crosse County Library has storage in other areas, and he also noted that the
837 Friends of the Library wish to utilize the storage space.

838

839 Fred asked if the storage space would be used exclusively for someone other than the general
840 public or the library.

841

842 Dan said he believes it is the library as well as the Friends of the Library.

843

844 Fred said it will be necessary to do a State Trust Fund Loan if this is an exclusive use for
845 someone other than the general public.

846

847 Ald. Bialecki asked Assistant Fire Chief Gudie if there are any fire codes that need to be met
848 with the storage structure, including the need for sprinklers.

849

850 Dan noted the storage area would be designated for staff and would not be a public area.

851

852 Assistant Fire Chief Gudie's answer was inaudible.

853

854 Fred asked if the storage structure will be heated.

855

856 Dan said a heat source will be necessary.

857

858 Fred questioned whether the \$50,000 that has been budgeted will be sufficient.

859

860 Dan said the contractor had submitted a quote of approximately \$40,000 and noted state-
861 approved plans will be necessary. Dan said the project will require additional work.

862
863 Ald. Bialecki asked Fred how much more will need to be deleted from the 2016 CIB.
864
865 Fred said he would perform the calculations.
866
867 Mayor Chilsen said according to his calculations only \$4 million has been deleted thus far.
868
869 Jarrod said the board will take a five-minute recess.
870
871 Mayor Chilsen said \$1.6 million still needs to be deleted to reach \$3.5 million and suggested
872 taking the budget to the department heads in an attempt to locate \$1.6 million that can be
873 removed.
874
875 Fred noted that \$4,677,400 has been deleted from a total of \$11,780,183. There currently is a net
876 balance of \$7,102,783. Subtracting \$2 million from Tourism's portion of the debt leaves
877 \$1,602,783 that still needs to be removed from the 2016 CIB.
878
879 Ald. Bialecki said he believes the 2014 and 2015 CIBs totaled \$3.8 million and stated he would
880 not object to attempting to reach that amount once again.
881
882 Motion by Fred, second by Ald. Binash, to remove Item No. 52 (All-Terrain Vehicle/\$25,093)
883 under Police in the 2016 CIB.
884
885 Police Chief Trotnic referred to Item No. 51 (replacement of two squad cars/\$92,190) and said
886 the Police Department needs two vehicles to maintain its fleet. Police Chief Trotnic said he
887 believes the Police Department also needs a six-person all-terrain vehicle for training, search-
888 and-rescue and special events. However, Police Chief Trotnic reiterated that the Police
889 Department needs two new vehicles to keep its fleet operational.
890
891 Ald. Binash asked if the Police Department currently has an all-terrain vehicle it is replacing.
892
893 Police Chief Trotnic noted that the Police Department has a one-person ATV and said having a
894 smaller vehicle presents challenges when officers are participating in training activities in the
895 bluffs that require partners.
896
897 Ald. Binash asked Police Chief Trotnic how often he believes the Police Department would
898 utilize a larger ATV.
899
900 Police Chief Trotnic estimated approximately 12 times a year.
901
902 Ald. Binash asked if the Fire Department also would be involved in a search-and-rescue

903 situation, and he also asked if the Fire Department has a similar vehicle.

904

905 Assistant Fire Chief Gudie said the Fire Department has a two-person ATV with a cart.

906

907 Police Chief Trotnic said the ATV he is seeking also has a cart.

908

909 Ald. Bialecki said he believes the intent over the years has been to hold General to \$3.5 million
910 and stated he believes the board is close to achieving its goal.

911

912 Fred said the city has always taken “the outside piece” regardless of whether it was being
913 charged. Fred noted that Tourism is an Enterprise Fund and said the \$3.5 to \$3.8 million is “the
914 outside picture” of all of the dollars needed.

915

916 Ald. Bialecki asked Police Chief Trotnic if Item No. 52 may be deleted.

917

918 Police Chief Trotnic said he would prefer to lose the ATV over the two new squad cars.

919

920 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Ald. Binash, to remove Item No. 52 (All-Terrain
921 Vehicle/\$25,093) under Police from the 2016 CIB.

922

923 On voice vote, motion carried.

924

925 Ald. Binash asked if it would be possible to use the Special Projects Fund to help pay for some
926 of the requests in the 2016 CIB.

927

928 Fred said he does not understand where there are Special Projects Fund dollars to do this.

929

930 Ald. Binash said the Omni Center could be considered part of Tourism and noted the Special
931 Projects Fund would have sufficient funds to address Omni Center projects.

932

933 Fred noted that there is \$1,595,000 in Special Projects and said that in order to utilize funds from
934 the Special Projects Fund “it has to get there somehow.” Fred noted the revenue source of the
935 Tourism dollars is earmarked and said he is unsure of what funds would be utilized if Special
936 Projects dollars were to be utilized for a Capital Project.

937

938 Ald. Binash said he wanted to see if such an action would be possible.

939

940 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Fred, to remove Item No. 61 (Building addition –
941 storage/\$50,000) under Library in the 2016 CIB.

942

943 Ald. Bialecki said this is with the understanding that as the city proceeds with the Tourism

944 facility the facility is looked at for the next 20 to 25 years and the city attempts to accommodate
945 other entities such as the Friends of the Library and potentially the Historical Society.

946

947 On voice vote, motion carried.

948

949 Jarrod suggested perhaps removing Item No. 58 (Arena No. 1 sound system/\$50,000) if the
950 Designated Fund Balance from Tourism cannot be utilized.

951

952 Ald. Binash said he would like to revisit this issue even though he is unsure of how to transfer
953 the funds. Ald. Binash said it seems possible that these funds may be utilized for the Omni
954 Center because it is part of Tourism.

955

956 Fred said he had misunderstood Ald. Binash, stating he does not object to funds being utilized
957 for the Omni Center from the Special Projects Fund. Fred reminded Ald. Binash that the Board
958 of Public Works had gone on record earlier this evening to approve utilizing \$60,000 from the
959 Special Projects Fund.

960

961 Motion by Fred, second by Ald. Bialecki, to transfer \$50,000 from Item No. 58 (Arena No. 1
962 sound system) under Omni Center in the 2016 CIB to 'Less Amount Other Sources.'

963

964 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Jarrod, to amend the previous motion and include Item No.
965 53 (Omni Center roof/\$97,000), Item No. 54a (Arena No. 1 bleacher work – Perma-cap bleacher
966 seat & riser cover/\$31,000) and Item No. 54b (Arena No. 1 bleacher work – End & front
967 bleacher rails/\$20,000), Item No. 55 (Overhaul of chiller in Arena No. 2/\$20,000), Item No. 56
968 (Security cameras for Arena No. 1/\$25,000), and Item No. 59 (Paint for Arena No. 2/\$10,000) as
969 part of a transfer under Omni Center in the 2016 CIB to 'Less Amount Other Sources.'

970

971 Vote on the Amendment:

972

973 On voice vote, motion carried, 4-1 (Mayor Chilsen).

974

975 Vote on the original motion, as amended.

976

977 On voice vote, motion carried.

978

979 Fred noted the total being transferred to 'Less Amount Other Sources' is \$253,000 and said
980 \$1,324,690 still must be deleted.

981

982 Motion by Jarrod, second by Mayor Chilsen, to decrease Item No. 21 (Street repaving – 10th
983 Ave. North-Park Place to Grove Street – Park Pl.-10th Ave. North to end) under the Public Works
984 Department in the 2016 CIB from \$182,000 to \$159,000.

985
986 Jarrod said the \$23,000 would be shown as ‘Less Amount Other Sources’ and would transferred
987 from the Local Road Improvement Fund.

988
989 On voice vote, motion carried.

990
991 Ald. Bertrand asked if Country Club Lane is still part of the 2016 CIB.

992
993 Jarrod said it is.

994
995 Ald. Bertrand asked if it can be deferred.

996
997 Jarrod reiterated Country Club Lane is still in the 2016 CIB, but added he tends to first address
998 either older streets or streets with significant traffic counts.

999
1000 Ald. Bertrand asked if Country Club Lane “can be there a long time” without sustaining
1001 significant damage to the surface.

1002
1003 Jarrod noted that this area has heavier soils, while the inner core of the city is built on a sand
1004 layer. Jarrod admitted that potholes eventually will appear on Country Club Lane.

1005
1006 Ald. Bertrand said he considers Fire and Police services to be “sacred services” and admitted the
1007 Fire Department is in a difficult situation with a nearly 24-year-old fire truck. Ald. Bertrand
1008 asked if the city is willing to take a chance with the Fire Department’s truck and said it will
1009 begin to malfunction. Ald. Bertrand said it seems as though there are options to reduce the cost
1010 of the truck and stated the city is potentially facing trouble if the purchase of a truck is deferred.
1011 Ald. Bertrand also said he does not support leaving Country Club Lane in the 2016 CIB.

1012
1013 Mayor Chilsen suggested finishing the 2016 CIB at the November 3 Board of Public Works
1014 meeting. Mayor Chilsen also reiterated he would like to present the budget to city staff at a staff
1015 meeting.

1016
1017 Fred said the board still must cut approximately \$1,301,690 to meet the \$3.5 million bonding
1018 threshold for Public Works and \$2 million for Tourism.

1019
1020 **Item 11 – Pay Estimates: Strand Associates, Short Elliott Hendrickson Inc., Mathy**
1021 **Construction, Chippewa Concrete Inc., Olympic Builders, Steiger Construction, St. Joseph**
1022 **Construction, State of Wisconsin Department of Transportation and any other**
1023 **contractor/developer**

1024
1025

BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS

MONTHLY ESTIMATES

October 6, 2015

1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066

<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Original Contract Amount</u>	<u>Change Orders</u>	<u>Paid to Date</u>	<u>Due this Estimate</u>
1. STRAND ASSOCIATES				
Well #9 Construction Estimate #6	\$ 157,200.00	\$ -	\$ 76,539.15	\$ 12,444.47
2. STRAND ASSOCIATES				
Well #9 Safe Drinking Water Loan Assistance Estimate #6	\$ 16,500.00	\$ -	\$ 8,381.76	\$ 972.52
3. OLYMPIC BUILDERS				
Well #9 Reconstruction & Filter Addition Construction Estimate #4	\$ 2,763,593.00	\$ 21,046.30	\$ 319,988.50	\$ 130,625.00
4. SEH INC.				
Riders Club Rd. & PH Design Estimate #3	\$ 148,348.18	\$ -	\$ 7,655.74	\$ 22,523.75
5. ST. JOSEPH CONSTRUCTION				
Pond 17 Reconstruction Construction Estimate #2	\$ 54,387.50	\$ -	\$ 42,062.57	\$ 3,955.00
6. SEH INC. (01375)				
Great River Landing Visual Display Design Estimate #1	\$ 750.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 564.32
7. OLYMPIC BUILDERS				
Omni Center Building Renovation				

1067	Construction				
1068	Estimate #1	\$ 123,803.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,824.15
1069					
1070	8. CHIPPEWA CONCRETE INC.				
1071	STH 16 Left Turn Lane Extension				
1072	Construction				
1073	Estimate #1	\$ 181,733.18	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 150,824.33
1074					
1075	9. HAAS & SONS				
1076	2015 Utility Project				
1077	Construction				
1078	Estimate #5	\$ 792,679.65	\$ -	\$ 757,441.02	\$ 2,098.00
1079					
1080	10. MATHY CONSTRUCTION				
1081	2015 Paving Project				
1082	Construction				
1083	Estimate #3	\$ 578,168.30	\$ -	\$ 455,252.42	\$ 33,409.78
1084					
1085	11. BLUESTEM FORESTRY				
1086	2015 Tree Inventory Project				
1087	Construction				
1088	Estimate #1	\$ 10,144.00	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,144.00
1089					

1090 Jarrod noted he had distributed an updated list with 11 estimates, including Bluestem Forestry.

1091
1092 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Mayor Chilsen, to approve the pay estimates dated October
1093 6, 2015.

1094
1095 On voice vote, motion carried.

1096
1097 **Adjournment**

1098
1099 Motion by Ald. Bialecki, second by Mayor Chilsen, to adjourn at 8:36 p.m.

1100
1101 On voice vote, motion carried.

1102
1103
1104 Recorded by:

1105
1106 Kirk Bey